## Health Committee Report - January 2018

Members are Helen Ingher, Chair; Laura Liscum, Assistant Chair; Shelley Barclay; Karen Clugston, Peggy Evanich; Stephanie Holoubek, Pat Koprovic; Linda Murray; and Veronica Scheer.

The past month, the Health Committee ( HC ) focused on the following issues:

1. Reno National Clinics. Cathy Craft is still working on engaging an ophthalmologist to perform eye tests. Jo Quintenz secured a vet tech for the blood draw and Helen has communicated with her.
2. AKC Registration Data. In mid-November, the Club received charts documenting AKC registration data for PBGVs over the past decade. The HC found the data confusing; therefore, Laura contacted Mark Dunn, AKC Senior VP Registrations and Customer Development, for clarification. He responded that many people had questions and they were re-working the charts. The new charts, received mid-December, were much clearer. They included statistics on hounds and all breeds, in addition to PBGVs. The PBGV data are appended to this report.

Mr. Dunn's email explained that the charts show the counts of litters, litter complement, and dogs registered for our breed since 2008. They also show, for each year, the number of dogs registered as Limited, the \% of registered dogs that are Limited, and the number of Revoked Limited dogs. Additionally, there are data on the count and the percentage of dogs born in any given year since 2008 that have been bred or that have been shown in Conformation. These latter numbers will increase over time as dogs mature and are then shown and/or bred for the first time. [Note: Complement is the count of pups from each AKC litter.]

Key take-home points are the following. The number of registered litters have dropped dramatically since 2008. There were 369 PBGVs born in 86 litters in 2008, but only 173 born in 43 litters in 2016. The number of unique dogs in conformation has reduced from 354 in 2008 to 170 in 2016. The unique number being bred has also declined. This is not exclusive to our breed, but is a concern of many breed clubs. Reduced numbers of dogs in any breed has potential impact on the genetic diversity in the breed.

The AKC is concerned about this trend and is beginning to take steps to help breed clubs with this issue. Helen and Anne Gallant met with Mark Dunn while at the 2017 AKC National Championship in Orlando. They learned that the AKC is developing programs to assist low population breeds to conduct genetic diversity testing as part of their DNA program.
3. Breeder mentoring. To counter the declining number of PBGV breeders and its long-term effect on the health of our breed, the HC is discussing a breeder mentoring program. We are drafting an application for breeder mentors and a document of expectations. These will be presented to the Board at a future meeting.
4. POAG clinical trial. Bruce Toenjes is a PBGV owner in lowa who has a POAG affected dog being treated by Dr. Rachel Allbaugh at Univ of lowa. He reports that Dr. Allbaugh has been in contact with Dr. Andreas Komáromy at Michigan State regarding gene therapy for POAG affected dogs. Dr. K has developed a virus that expresses the canine gene encoding the ADAMTS17 protein, which is deficient in POAG. He has treated some POAG affected, pre-symptomatic Beagles and one Beagle with increased pressure. His results so far are encouraging. He is monitoring for side effects in the Beagles, but already reports that pressure is decreased in the one symptomatic Beagle. He proposes to treat one pre-symptomatic PBGV in one eye and monitor side effects for 6 months. Because an immune response would be mounted in the treated dog, the second eye of that dog could never be treated. If all looks okay, both eyes of PBGVs that are presymptomatic or that have low increased pressure could be treated. PBGVS would not have to travel since the injection could be performed by any veterinary ophthalmologist.

The HC is putting together a list of POAG affected dogs and owner contact information. Once more details of the treatment are known, we will make preliminary contact to see which dogs might be eligible for the trial at this time. There are many remaining questions, not least of which is the cost to treat the dogs and who will pay. Bruce did not know who was paying for the Beagle treatment. Dr. Allbaugh would be the contact person for PBGV owners. She has yet to prepare a protocol and get approval from the Univ of lowa animal committee, so any treatment will not begin for several months. We do not know, at this time, if dogs outside of US/Canada will be included. That may depend upon country-by-country oversight of gene therapy protocols.

Dr. K would like to come to a PBGVCA National Specialty to speak about the therapy. We would probably book him for 2019, giving the timing of the trial.

Petits Bassets Griffons Vendee

|  | Metric | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l} \text { Litter } \\ \text { Stats } \end{array}$ | Registered Litters | 86 | 65 | 65 | 62 | 58 | 42 | 43 | 32 | 43 | \# AKC litters registered each year |
|  | Litter Complement | 369 | 256 | 269 | 275 | 250 | 184 | 171 | 153 | 173 | \# Pups in the litters (known as the Complement) |
|  | Average Complement | 4.29 | 3.94 | 4.14 | 4.44 | 4.31 | 4.38 | 3.98 | 4.78 | 4.02 | Average \# of pups per litter |
|  | Individually Registered Pups | 262 | 153 | 169 | 186 | 175 | 108 | 115 | 101 | 118 | \# Pups from each year's litters individually registered (to date) |
|  | Actual Return Rate | 71.0\% | 59.8\% | 62.8\% | 67.6\% | 70.0\% | 58.7\% | 67.3\% | 66.0\% | 68.2\% | \% Pups from each year's litters individually registered (to date) |
|  | Limited | 66 | 36 | 34 | 41 | 43 | 52 | 33 | 33 | 31 | \# Limited Registrations from each year's litters (to date) |
|  | \% Limited | 25.2\% | 23.5\% | 20.1\% | 22.0\% | 24.6\% | 48.1\% | 28.7\% | 32.7\% | 26.3\% | \% Pups from each year's litters Registered as Limited |
|  | Limited Revoked | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | \# Limited Revoked Dogs from each year's litters (to date) |
|  | Revoked Litters | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | Litters involving Revoked Limited Dogs and/or Bitches each year |
|  | Complement Bitches in Conformation | 78 | 46 | 51 | 53 | 44 | 24 | 31 | 31 | 30 | \# Bitches from each year's litters in Conformation (to date) |
|  | Complement Dogs in Conformation | 56 | 30 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 27 | 23 | 27 | 33 | \# Males from each year's litters in Conformation (to date) |
|  | Total Complement in Conformation | 134 | 76 | 98 | 100 | 88 | 51 | 54 | 58 | 63 | Total from each year's litters in Conformation (to date) |
|  | \% Complement in Conformation | 51.1\% | 49.7\% | 58.0\% | 53.8\% | 50.3\% | 47.2\% | 47.0\% | 57.4\% | 53.4\% | \% of each year's litters in Conformation (to date) |
|  | Complement Bitches Bred | 34 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 10 | 5 | 3 | - | Bitches from each year's litters that have been bred to date |
|  | Complement Dogs Bred | 19 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | Males from each year's litters that have been bred to date |
|  | Total Complement Bred | 53 | 29 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 1 | Total from each year's litters that have been bred to date |
|  | \% Complement Bred | 14.4\% | 11.3\% | 12.3\% | 12.7\% | 13.2\% | 8.7\% | 6.4\% | 3.9\% | 0.6\% | \% of each year's litters that have been bred to date |


| Dog <br> Stats | AKC Dog Registrations | 264 | 170 | 180 | 183 | 193 | 127 | 106 | 119 | 105 | \# Registered from AKC Litters during each year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FSS Registrations | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \# of FSS Dog Registrations each year |
|  | Conditional Registrations | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \# of Conditional Registrations each year |
|  | Open Registrations | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \# of Open Registrations each year |
|  | Foreign Registrations | 11 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 14 | \# of Foreign-born Registrations each year |
|  | Total Dogs Registered | 275 | 179 | 186 | 193 | 205 | 143 | 118 | 132 | 119 | Total Dog Registrations each year |
|  | Unique Bitches in Conformation | 177 | 170 | 162 | 156 | 151 | 129 | 110 | 91 | 93 | Unique \# of bitches in Conformation each year |
|  | Unique Dogs in Conformation | 177 | 141 | 118 | 126 | 125 | 119 | 93 | 86 | 77 | Unique \# of males in Conformation each year |
|  | Total Unique in Conformation | 354 | 311 | 280 | 282 | 276 | 248 | 203 | 177 | 170 | Unique \# in Conformation each year |
|  | Bitches Bred | 83 | 63 | 59 | 55 | 54 | 40 | 43 | 29 | 42 | Unique \# bitches bred each year |
|  | Dogs Bred | 55 | 44 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 32 | 36 | 24 | 33 | Unique \# males bred each year |
|  | Total Bred | 138 | 107 | 104 | 102 | 100 | 72 | 79 | 53 | 75 | Unique \# bred each year |


| \% Change YOY | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Litters | N/A | -24.4\% | 0.0\% | -4.6\% | -6.5\% | -27.6\% | 2.4\% | -25.6\% | 34.4\% | These \%'s are calculated to show the change each year from the previous year. |
| Litter Complement | N/A | -30.6\% | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | -9.1\% | -26.4\% | -7.1\% | -10.5\% | 13.1\% |  |
| Registered Pups | N/A | -41.6\% | 10.5\% | 10.1\% | -5.9\% | -38.3\% | 6.5\% | -12.2\% | 16.8\% |  |
| \% Change Relative 2008 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  |
| Litters | 0.0\% | -24.4\% | -24.4\% | -27.9\% | -32.6\% | -51.2\% | -50.0\% | -62.8\% | -50.0\% | These \%'s are calculated to show the change each year compared to 2008. |
| Litter Complement | 0.0\% | -30.6\% | -27.1\% | -25.5\% | -32.2\% | -50.1\% | -53.7\% | -58.5\% | -53.1\% |  |
| Registered Pups | 0.0\% | -41.6\% | -35.5\% | -29.0\% | -33.2\% | -58.8\% | -56.1\% | -61.5\% | -55.0\% |  |

## Petits Bassets Griffons Vendee




| Litter Data YOY \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | / |
|  | 20\%l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10\% $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Litters | 0 | -24.4\% | 0.0\% | -4.6\% | -6.5\% | -27.6\% | 2.4\% | -25.6\% | 34.4\% |
| Litter Complement | 0 | -30.6\% | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | -9.1\% | -26.4\% | -7.1\% | -10.5\% | 13.1\% |
| Registered Pups | 0 | -41.6\% | 10.5\% | 10.1\% | -5.9\% | -38.3\% | 6.5\% | -12.2\% | 16.8\% |
|  |  | Litte | - | Compl | nt | Registere |  |  |  |


| Litter Data \% Change Relative to 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -60\% $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Litters | 0.0\% | -24.4\% | -24.4\% | -27.9\% | -32.6\% | -51.2\% | -50.0\% | -62.8\% | -50.0\% |
| Litter Complement | 0.0\% | -30.6\% | -27.1\% | -25.5\% | -32.2\% | -50.1\% | -53.7\% | -58.5\% | -53.1\% |
| Registered Pups | 0.0\% | -41.6\% | -35.5\% | -29.0\% | -33.2\% | -58.8\% | -56.1\% | -61.5\% | -55.0\% |
|  |  | —Litte | -Li | Compl | nt | Register |  |  |  |

